

AD-A057694

AD-A057694

TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02076

THE EVALUATION, MANIPULATION AND
IDENTIFICATION OF NONDIMENSIONAL NUMBERS

Morton A. Hirschberg

June 1978

TECHNICAL
LIBRARY



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
✓ BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating
or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained
from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia
22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as
an official Department of the Army position, unless
so designated by other authorized documents.

*The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report
does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.*

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

DD FORM 1473 1 JAN 73 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

square-rooting, cube-rooting, reciprocating, and division by both the minimum and maximum exponent) are applied to each solution (these too are solutions and also kept in symbolic form). Numerical substitution into the symbolic forms produce scalars (evaluated solutions) for subsequent use (plotting, regression, factor analysis, etc.). Finally, every symbolic solution is compared with well-known nondimensional numbers (e.g., Reynold's, Weber's, etc.). If a match is found, this information is displayed.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	5
II. THE BUCKINGHAM PI THEOREM	6
III. SYMBOLANG	9
IV. THE PROGRAM	9
V. DISCUSSION	10
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	11
REFERENCES	12
APPENDIX I - Program Computer Listings	13
APPENDIX II - Sample Program Inputs	37
APPENDIX III - Input Description and Format	41
APPENDIX IV - Sample Program Outputs	45
DISTRIBUTION LIST	49

"It is out of the question to formulate and carry out experiments nowadays without making use of similarity and dimensionality concepts."¹

I. INTRODUCTION

One measure of the importance of a scientific area is the number of papers written in that area. Dimensional analysis has generated over 600 publications,² however, only a handful of these papers deal with computerized dimensionality.^{3,4,5,6,7}

There are several reasons why so little computer work has been done in dimensional analysis. First, much work has been devoted to methodologies and specific solutions in highly specialized areas. Once this work has been done, a computer is not necessary. Second and more important is that computer codes have been inflexible. Only one solution is usually generated for a given ordering of dimensional equations. Therefore, fruitful and/or well recognized solutions might not be generated. This, just because of ordering. Next, identifiable and well recognized solutions are not identified. The user does needless computational work when this occurs. Finally, with the exception of the work of Cohen and Ferrari (Reference 6), solutions are not usually numerically evaluated. Therefore, a great deal of computational work must be performed before suitable data is available for plotting, regression, clustering, or factor analysis.

¹Sedov, L. I. Similarity and Dimensional Methods in Mechanics. New York, Academic Press, 1959.

²Happ, W. W., Private Communication, January 1976.

³Happ, W. W., "Computer-Oriented Procedures for Dimensional Analysis." Journal of Applied Physics, 38, 3918-3926, September 1967.

⁴Sloan, A. D. and Happ, W. W., "Computer Program for Dimensional Analysis." Electronics Research Center, Cambridge, Mass., NASA TN D-5165, April 1969.

⁵Chen, W. K. "Algebraic Theory of Dimensional Analysis." Journal of the Franklin Institute, 292, 403-422, December 1971.

⁶Cohen, J. and Ferrari, J. O., "A Conversational Language for Solving Problems in Dimensional Analysis." Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 5, 53-67, January 1975.

⁷Hirschberg, M.A., "A Computer Solution of the Buckingham Pi Theorem Using SYMBOLANG, A Symbol Manipulation Language," USA Ballistic Research Laboratories Report No. 1824, August 1975. (AD #A016901)

The program described in this paper overcomes most of the named deficiencies, that is, those of inflexibility. Computer solutions are generated using the Buckingham Pi Theorem (Section II). Computations are performing using SYMBOLANG (Section III), an algebraic (symbol) manipulation package. Solutions to dimensional equations are kept in symbolic form. In addition, solutions are manipulated in two ways. First, many allowable combinations of pi terms (up to 720) can be generated by the program automatically changing the order of the dimensional equations. Second, a small group of operators (squaring, cubing, square-rooting, cube-rooting, reciprocating, and division by both the minimum and maximum exponent) are applied to each generated solution. Various transformations applied to solutions produce new solutions. Products of solutions also produce new solutions. Provision has been made for numerical substitution into the symbolic forms producing scalars (evaluated solutions) for subsequent use. In addition, every symbolic solution is compared with well-known nondimensional numbers (e.g., Reynold's, Weber's, etc.). Well-known numbers are selected from the Land Table⁸. When a match between a symbolic and a Land number is found, the name of the Land number is printed for all such numbers which match (i.e., there may be more than one name printed for a particular solution).

II. THE BUCKINGHAM PI THEOREM

The Buckingham Pi Theorem⁹ summarizes the entire theory of dimensional analysis.¹⁰ The result of a dimensional analysis is the reduction of the number of variables in a problem. Application of the Pi theorem itself provides the method of solution of a set of dimensional equations. Simply stated, the pi theorem asserts:

If there are n variables involving N fundamental units, these may be combined to form $n-N$ dimensionless parameters each involving $N + 1$ variables.

The usual method of applying the Pi theorem is for one to write equations describing the physical system one is interested in in terms of a set of fundamental units (force, length, time, angle, or mass, length time, etc.). The equations are then systematically exponentiated and multiplied together (hence "Pi" theorem for the mathematical symbol for multiplication (π)). The resulting exponentials form an N by N set of

⁸Land, N. S., "A Compilation of Nondimensional Numbers," Washington, DC, US Government Printing Office, NASA SP-274, 1972.

⁹Buckingham, E., "On Physically Similar Systems: Illustrations of the Use of Dimensional Equations," Physical Review, 2, 345-376, 1914.

¹⁰Langhaar, H. L., Dimensional Analysis and Theory of Models, New York, Wiley, 1951.

linear equations whose solution is applied back to the variables of the problem (see the example below). One can see that it is tedious to work a problem involving many variables by hand. In fact, there is no good indicator of just how many variables one should include in a problem (this is true even for a computerized solution).

The following example (taken from Housner and Hudson¹¹) used throughout the remainder of the paper illustrates the mechanisms of the Buckingham Pi Theorem.

Consider a drag force (F) acting upon a body moving through a fluid. Assume a constant velocity (V) through the fluid of density (ρ) and viscosity (μ). If the analysis is applied to bodies of a specific shape, the cross-sectional area (A) may be used as a measure of the body's size.

The following variables and fundamental units enter into the problem:

<u>Variable</u>		<u>Fundamental Units</u>
F	=	F
μ	=	$FL^{-2}T$
A	=	L^2
ρ	=	$FL^{-4}T^2$
V	=	LT^{-1}

where F = Force, L = Length and T = Time,

According to the Pi theorem, two terms can be formed from the five equations (each equation is expressed in terms of the three fundamental units). The two solutions will each contain four of the variables. The pi terms formed with this ordering are:

$$\pi_1 = FA^\alpha \rho^\beta V^\gamma = F^{1+\beta} L^{2+4\beta+\gamma} T^{2\beta-\gamma}$$

and

$$\pi_2 = \mu A^\alpha \rho^\beta V^\gamma = F^{1+\beta} L^{-2+2+4\beta+\gamma} T^{1+2\beta-\gamma}$$

Solving these equations we find: π_1 has the solution $\alpha = -1$, $\beta = -1$, $\gamma = -2$; π_2 has the solution $\alpha = -1/2$, $\beta = -1$, $\gamma = -1$; so the resulting dimensionless pi terms are:

¹¹Housner, C. W. and Hudson, D. E., Applied Mechanics Dynamics. New York, van Nostrand, 1950.

$$\pi_1 = \frac{F}{A\rho V^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2 = \frac{\mu}{A^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho V}$$

π_1 is a pressure coefficient and π_2 is the reciprocal of the Reynold's number¹⁰. One can see how the equations are systematically selected and visualize the ease with which such an algorithm can be programmed.

The above represent one set of solutions. In this particular case, eight other solutions are possible depending upon the reordering of the five basic equations. The full set of solutions is listed below:

$$(1) \quad \frac{F}{A\rho V^2}$$

$$(6) \quad \frac{A\rho V^2}{F}$$

$$(2) \quad \frac{\mu}{A^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho V}$$

$$(7) \quad \frac{VA^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{A\mu^2 V^2}{F^2}$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{\mu}{F^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} (A^0)$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\rho F (V^0)}{\mu^2}$$

$$(9) \quad \frac{V\mu A^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F}$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{\mu}{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{\frac{1}{2}}} (V^0)$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{\rho F (A^0)}{\mu^2}$$

In this example, only solutions (1) and (2) are fruitful (contain information). The other eight solutions can all be derived from solutions (1) and (2). We must note, however, that solutions (4) and (10) are minimal in the sense that they (a) contain the fewest number variables and (b) the sum of their (integer) exponents is a minimum (see References 4 and 5 for a further discussion of minimal solutions).

III. SYMBOLANG

SYMBOLANG^{12,13}, a high-level FORTRAN language for algebraic (symbol) manipulation, was used to form the symbolic products (pi terms) of the fundamental units. This application was well suited for SYMBOLANG. Not only were solutions generated, but one was able to see the development of the pi terms as the products were being formed. In addition, the final equation was also displayed. SYMBOLANG is of pedagogical value in demonstrating the mechanisms of the Buckingham Pi Theorem.

IV. THE PROGRAM

Listings of the routines* described in this section appear in Appendix I. The many SLIP* and SYMBOLANG* routines are not included; however, they are available from the authors of Reference 12.

The name of the main program is BUCKY. BUCKY initializes the SLIP-SYMBOLANG working storage area, then reads and displays the inputs (sample inputs appear in Appendix II while an explanation of the inputs and formats required for them appears in Appendix III). BUCKY next calculates the number of combinations (orderings) possible based upon the number of equations input; however, only 720 permutations are allowed. BUCKY next breaks the equations into two pieces; the ones to the left of the equal sign are variables and those to the right of the equal sign are fundamental units. Now, the Pi theorem algorithm is invoked. This is where the exponentiation and multiplication of fundamental units occur. The result of this step is the system of equations which is solved using a matrix inverse routine. The solution of the linear equations is performed in subroutine BUCKSV. Next, the appropriate numerical operator (squaring, etc.) is established and a numerical substitution made. A scalar is produced.

* Variable length calls and calls to functions have been modified to run on the BRLESC II Computer.

¹²Findler, N. V., Pfaltz, J. L., and Bernstein, H. J., Four High-Level Extensions of FORTRAN IV; SLIP, AMPPL-II, TREETRAN, SYMBOLANG. New York, Spartan, 305-387, 1972.

¹³Hirschberg, M. A., "SYMBOLANG - A SLIP Extension for Algebraic Manipulation," USA Ballistic Research Laboratory Report No. 1749, November 1974. (AD #A003190)

Evaluation is performed in subroutine EVALP. EVALP is an inelegant routine whose main virtue lies in producing the correct scalar value. Up to five values may be input for each of 24 variables (a considerable number for a dimensional analysis). Reciprocating is also performed in EVALP.

After evaluation, the exponents of the symbolic solution are passed to TABLUK, which compares them with well-known dimensionless numbers taken from the Land Table. When a match is found, the name(s) of all numbers in the table fitting the match are printed.

Following the table lookup, a new numerical operator is selected (subroutine PRMTE) and the evaluation and lookup process repeated. When all numerical operators have been processed, a new ordering of the equations is generated (this is a random process performed in ONEMR which also keeps track of which permutations have already been made) and the entire solution process is repeated. Up to 720 solutions are permitted, so all solutions will be generated even for relatively large problems. Sample program outputs appear in Appendix IV.

V. DISCUSSION

In an earlier section, we have seen what program BUCKY does. Namely, it forms solutions to the Buckingham Pi Theorem, evaluates these solutions, and finally, identifies them when possible. In addition, reordering the dimensional equations allows for different solution sets to be formed. When there are few equations to be solved, every possible solution is formed; therefore, an optimal solution is always generated^{3,4,5}. Furthermore, numeric substitution into the symbolic form generates scalar values (evaluated solutions) which may be kept in a data base for further use. When an investigator finds a solution particularly suited to his needs, selective retrieval of evaluated solutions allows data to be plotted as well as used in regression, clustering, or factor analysis. As a last step, an attempt is made to identify each solution (primary and algebraically manipulated) by comparing solutions with well-known non-dimensional numbers. It should also be noted that by keeping solutions in symbolic form one does not need to refer to other documents to determine which variables are involved in the solution.

There are some shortcomings to program BUCKY. First, no attempt is made to verify that there is a consistent set of equations (none of the other computer programs does this either). Failure to provide a consistent set of equations results in a singular matrix which cannot be inverted. Second, the program does not provide for an automatic change of units⁶. This is correctable by providing conversion factors and

having a separate conversion calculation phase before evaluation occurs. Finally, while no other program attempts to identify solutions, not all well-known solutions are tabled. This can be easily corrected by adding solutions to the table. In addition, some well-known solutions are not recognized because of dimensional substitutions which can be but are not made. For instance,

$$\frac{A^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho V}{\mu}$$

is a Reynold's number; however, the program does not realize that $A^{\frac{1}{2}} = L$ (A is area, L is length). This fault can also be corrected by substituting fundamental units for variables; however, the expense of making all such substitutions does not seem to warrant the gain (there are always numerous little things one can do!)

No further extension of this work is contemplated at the present time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Dr. Benajmin E. Cummings for encouraging this work and for providing a meaningful data set for the example used in this report.

REFERENCES

1. Sedov, L. I., Similarity and Dimensional Methods in Mechanics, New York, Academic Press, 1959.
2. Happ, W. W., Private Communication, January 1976.
3. Happ, W. W., "Computer-Oriented Procedures for Dimensional Analysis", Journal of Applied Physics, 38, 3918-3926, September 1967.
4. Sloan, A. D. and Happ, W. W., "Computer Program for Dimensional Analysis", Electronics Research Center, Cambridge, MA, NASA TN D-5165, April 1969.
5. Chen, W. K., "Algebraic Theory of Dimensional Analysis", Journal of the Franklin Institute, 292, 403-422, December 1971.
6. Cohen, J. and Ferrari, J. O., "A Conversational Language for Solving Problems in Dimensional Analysis", Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 5, 53-67, January 1975.
7. Hirschberg, M. A., "A Computer Solution of the Buckingham Pi Theorem Using SYMBOLANG, A Symbol Manipulation Language", USA Ballistic Research Laboratory Report No. 1824, August 1975. (AD #A016901)
8. Land, N. S., "A Compilation of Nondimensional Numbers", Washington, DC, US Government Printing Office, NASA SP-274, 1972.
9. Buckingham, E., "On Physically Similar Systems: Illustrations of the Use of Dimensional Equations", Physical Review, 2, 345-376, 1914.
10. Langhaar, H. L., Dimensional Analysis and Theory of Models, New York, Wiley, 1951.
11. Housner, C. W. and Hudson, D. E., Applied Mechanics Dynamics, New York, van Nostrand, 1950.
12. Findler, N. V., Pfaltz, J. L. and Bernstein, H. J., Four High-Level Extensions of FORTRAN IV, SLIP, AMPPL-II, TREETRAN, SYMBOLANG. New York, Spartan, 305-387, 1972.
13. Hirschberg, M. A., "SYMBOLANG, A SLIP Extension for Algebraic Manipulation", USA Ballistic Research Laboratory Report No. 1749, November 1974. (AD #A003190)

APPENDIX I

PROGRAM COMPUTER LISTING

PROGRAM BUCKY

```

C
C THIS IS THE PI THEOREM SOLVER
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C OCTOBER 1974
C
C SET UP SLIP STORAGE
COMMON AVSL,X(100)
COMMON/MAXMIN/XAMAX,XAMIN
DIMENSION SP(20000)
C SET UP PROGRAM STORAGE
DIMENSION LNEW(100),LTEMP(100),LPOWER(100)
DIMENSION LFORMS(100)
DIMENSION APRIMS(6,100)
DIMENSION XFRMLA(100),XSOLS(100)
DIMENSION IVAL(24)
DIMENSION SOLTN(24)
DIMENSION IZEE(78)
DIMENSION FFORM(100)
DIMENSION LEXP(78)
DIMENSION EEXP0(5)
DIMENSION NSOLS(720),IRAYS(20)
DATA EEXP0/1.,2.,3.,.5,.3333333333/
DATA IMAXY/7/
C DEFINE EXPONENTS A-Z,A1-Z1,A2-Z2
DATA IZEE/1HA,1HB,1HC,1HD,1HE,1HF,1HG,1HH,1HI,1HJ,1HK,1HL,1HM,1HN,
1 1HO,1HP,1HQ,1HR,1HS,1HT,1HU,1HV,1HW,1HX,1HY,1HZ,
22HA1,2HB1,2HC1,2HD1,2HE1,2HF1,2HG1,2HH1,2HI1,2HJ1,2HK1,2HL1,2HM1,
32HN1,2HO1,2HP1,2HQ1,2HR1,2HS1,2HT1,2HU1,2HV1,2HW1,2HX1,2HY1,2HZ1,
42HA2,2HB2,2HC2,2HD2,2HE2,2HF2,2HG2,2HH2,2HI2,2HJ2,2HK2,2HL2,2HM2,
52HN2,2HO2,2HP2,2HQ2,2HR2,2HS2,2HT2,2HU2,2HV2,2HW2,2HX2,2HY2,2HZ2/
C
C SETUP WORKING STORAGE
CALL INITAS(SP,20000)
2 CONTINUE
C READ NUMBER OF PRIMITIVES
READ (5,10) NPRMS
10 FORMAT (I5)
WRITE (6,12) NPRMS
12 FORMAT(1H1,55X,18H PI THEOREM SOLVER/
1 21H NUMBER OF PRIMITIVES,4X,I5)
WRITE (6,13)
13 FORMAT (26H0LAND CANDIDATES REFERS TO/
1 57H A COMPILATION OF DIMENSIONLESS NUMBERS BY NORMAN S. LAND/
2 48H NASA SP-274, USGPO STOCK NUMBER 3300-0408, 1972)
C THE PRIMITIVES ALSO CONTAIN VALUES FOR DETERMINING THE VALUE OF A PI
C TERM. IF A VALUE IS -9999.9, THEN NO MORE EVALUATIONS WILL BE MADE WITH
C THAT VARIABLE.
WRITE (6,14)
14 FORMAT (30H0PRIMITIVES USED IN EVALUATION)
WRITE (6,18)
18 FORMAT (1H ,10HPRIMITIVES,22X,6HVALUES)
C READ PRIMITIVES
IEVAL=0
DO 25 I=1,NPRMS
READ (5,21) (APRIMS(J,I),J=1,6)

```



```

21 FORMAT (A10,2X,5E12.8)
C
C COUNT THE NUMBER OF VARIABLES FOR EVALUATION
  II=0
  DO 22 J=2,6
    IF (APRIMS(J,I).EQ.-9999.9) GO TO 23
    II=II+1
    IEVAL=1
  22 CONTINUE
  23 CONTINUE
  IVAL(I)=II
C OUTPUT PRIMITIVES
  WRITE (6,24) (APRIMS(J,I),J=1,6)
  24 FORMAT (1H ,A10,2X,5E20.8)
  25 CONTINUE
C READ NUMBER OF FORMULAS
  READ (5,10) NFRMS
C READ NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES
  READ (5,10) IVARS
  WRITE (6,32) NFRMS,IVARS
  32 FORMAT (10HOTHER ARE,1X,I5,1X,8HFORMULAS,
1 1X,9HINVOLVING,1X,I5,1X,9HVARIABLES)
  IXTA=IVARS+1
  CALL COMBY(NFRMS,IXTA,ICOMS)
  WRITE (6,34) ICOMS
  34 FORMAT (10HOTHER ARE,1X,I5,1X,21HPOSSIBLE COMBINATIONS)
  ICC=0
  DO 36 I=1,NFRMS
    IRAYS(I)=I
    ICC=10*ICC+I
  36 CONTINUE
  NSOLS(1)=ICC
  NCT=1
C READ FORMULAS
  DO 40 I=1,NFRMS
    CALL INLIST(LFORMS(I),5HINPUT,3HVAL,TEMP)
  40 CONTINUE
C
C CALCULATE THE NUMBER OF PI TERMS
  IPI=NFRMS-IVARS
  WRITE (6,42) IPI
  42 FORMAT (10HOTHER ARE,1X,I5,1X,8HPI TERMS)
C
C OUTPUT FORMULAS
C   DO 50 I=1,NFRMS
C     CALL LSQPNT(LFORMS(I),8HFORMULAS,999.,TEMP)
C   50 CONTINUE
C
C STRIP EQUALS OFF AND SETUP NEW SYMBOLANG LISTS
  IGON=0
  55 CONTINUE
  IXDT=0

```

```

      DO 200 I=1,NFRMS
C
C SET UP READER FOR FORMULAS
      III=IRAYS(I)
      LRD=LRDRQV(LFORMS(III))
C
C STRIP FIRST PART OF FORMULA
      DO 60 II=1,4
          DATUM=ADVSR(LRD,FLAG)
          IF (II.NE.3) GO TO 60
          IXDT=IXDT+1
C
C SAVE VARIABLE NAME IN FORMULA FOR LATER USE      (SOLUTION)
      FFORM(IXDT)=DATUM
      60 CONTINUE
      LW=0
      LW=LIST(LW)
      IC=0
      65 CONTINUE
C
C ADVANCE THROUGH LIST
      DATUM=ADVSR(LRD,FLAG)
      IF (FLAG.NE.0.) GO TO 70
C
C SET UP TEMPORARY LIST AND COUNT ELEMENTS
      IC=IC+1
      CALL NEWBOT(DATUM,LW,TEMP)
      GO TO 65
      70 CONTINUE
C
C SET COUNT AND FORM NEW NEW SYMBOLANG LIST
      LNEW(I)=0
      LNEW(I)=LIST(LNEW(I))
      LRD=LRDRQV(LW)
      LTEMP(I)=LIST(9)
      CALL NEWBOT(LTEMP(I),LNEW(I),TEMP)
      LC=IC-2
      IF (LC.LE.0) GO TO 2000
      DO 80 J=1,LC
          DATUM=ADVSR(LRD,FLAG)
          CALL NEWBOT(DATUM,LTEMP(I),TEMP)
      80 CONTINUE
C
C ERASE TEMPORARY LIST AND PRINT NEW LIST
      CALL IRALST(LW,TEMP)
C
      CALL LSQPNT(LNEW(I),4HLNEW,999.,TEMP)
      200 CONTINUE
      IF (IGON.EQ.1) GO TO 220
      IGON=1
      K=NFRMS-1

```

```

      DO 210 I=1,K
C
C  SETUP EXPONENTS
      LEXP(I)=LSQMN1(LSQMN3(1.,IZEE(I),1.))
      210 CONTINUE
      220 CONTINUE
C
C  SETUP PI TERMS
      LFRMS=LSQMN1(LSQMN1(1.))
      DO 260 I=1,IPI
      LTV=LSQMN1(LSQMN1(1.))
      KK=0
C  CYCLE THROUGH FORMULAS MOST IMPORTANT AND SKIP FORMULAS SO AS
C  TO INCLUDE ONLY THE IMPORTANT FORMULAS ONE AT A TIME
      DO 245 J=1,NFRMS
      IF (J.EQ.1) GO TO 215
      IF (J+IVARS.LE.NFRMS) GO TO 245
      KK=KK+1
C
C  RAISE POWER
      LPOWER(KK)=LSQRAZ(LNEW(J),LEXP(KK))
      LTU=LSQMEX(LTV,LPOWER(KK))
      CALL LSQDES(LTV,TEMP)
      TLGD=SEQRDR(LTU)
      LTV=LSQCPY(TLGD)
      CALL LSQDES(LTV,TEMP)
      GO TO 245
      215 CONTINUE
      CALL LSQDES(LTV,TEMP)
      LTV=LSQMEX(LFRMS,LNEW(J))
      245 CONTINUE
      CALL LSQPNT(LTV,3HLTV,999.,TEMP)
C      CALL PRLSTS(LTV,4)
C
C  SOLVE EQUATIONS
      CALL BUCKSV(LTV,IZEE,SOLTN,ICOUNT)
      CALL LSQDES(LTV,TEMP)
      DO 250 J=1,KK
      CALL LSQDES(LPOWER(J),TEMP)
      250 CONTINUE
C
C  PRINT SOLUTION FOR PI TERM
      ITIME=1
      251 CONTINUE
      NOM=0
      WRITE (6,252)
      252 FORMAT (45H0SOLUTION OR MANIPULATED SOLUTION FOR PI TERM)
      KK=0

```

```

DO 258 J=1,NFRMS
IF (J.NE.1) GO TO 255
IF (ITIME.LE.5) PTOUT=EEXPO(ITIME)
IF (ITIME.EQ.6) PTOUT=1./XAMIN
IF (ITIME.EQ.7) PTOUT=1./XAMAX
WRITE (6,253) FFORM(I),PTOUT
NOM=NOM+1
XPRMLA(NOM)=FFORM(I)
XSOLS(NOM)=PTOUT
253 FORMAT (1H ,A10,1X,2H**,1X,E14.8)
255 CONTINUE
IF (J+IVARS.LE.NFRMS) GO TO 258
KK=KK+1
WRITE (6,253) FFORM(J),SOLTN(KK)
NOM=NOM+1
XFRMLA(NOM)=FFORM(J)
XSOLS(NOM)=SOLTN(KK)
258 CONTINUE
IF (ITIME.GE.6 .AND. IFIX(ABS(PTOUT)).EQ.1) GO TO 259
IF (IEVAL.EQ.1)
1CALL EVALP(APRIMS,XFRMLA,XSOLS,NOM,NPRMS,IVAL)
CALL TABLUK(NOM,XSOLS)
259 CONTINUE
IF (ITIME.GE.IMAXY) GO TO 260
CALL PRMTE(ITIME,IVARS,SOLTN)
ITIME=ITIME+1
GO TO 251
260 CONTINUE
DO 270 I=1,NFRMS
CALL IRALST(LTEMP(I),TEMP)
CALL IRALST(LNEW(I),TEMP)
270 CONTINUE
CALL ONEMR(NSOLS,IRAYS,NCT,NFRMS,ICOMS)
IF (NCT.GE.1) GO TO 55
C GO TO 2
CALL EXIT
2000 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,2010)
2010 FORMAT (7H NO NO      )
CALL EXIT
END

```

```

      SUBROUTINE BUCKSV(LIST, ZEE,SOLTN,ICOUNT)
C
C THIS SUBROUTINE SETS UP THE SOLUTION FOR THE PI THEOREM
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C JANUARY 1975
C
      DIMENSION ZEE(78)
      DIMENSION SOLTN(24)
      DIMENSION AMAT(24,24)
      DIMENSION AWORD(3)
C
C CLEAR STORAGE
      DO 5 I=1,24
      SOLTN(I)=0.0
      DO 4 J=1,24
      AMAT(I,J)=0.0
      4 CONTINUE
      5 CONTINUE
C
C SET UP READER FOR LIST
      LR=LRDROV(LIST)
C SET FLAGS
      LEVEL=0
      ICOUNT=1
      IEND=0
      IWORD=0
      IGO=0
      JEND=1
      10 CONTINUE
      IGO=IGO+1
      JGO=0
C ADVANCE THROUGH LIST
      X=ADVSWR(LR,K)
      IF (K) 100,20,100
      20 IF (LEVEL-LCNTR(LR)) 150,30,7C
      30 IF (NAMTST(X)) 60,40,60
      40 IF (LISTMT(X)) 50,10,50
C WE HIT A SUBLIST
      50 CONTINUE
      LEVEL=LEVEL+1
      IEND=0
      IWORD=0
      GO TO 10
C WE HIT A DATUM ELEMENT
      60 CONTINUE
      IEND=0
      IF (IGO.LE.3) GO TO 10
      IF (JGO.EQ.1) ICOUNT=ICOUNT+1
      IF (JGO.EQ.1) GO TO 10

```

```

        IWORD=IWORD+1
C  STORE DATUM
        AWORD(IWORD)=X
        GO TO 10
C  WE HIT AN END OF SUBLIST
    70  CONTINUE
        LEVEL=LEVEL-1
        IEND=IEND+1
        IF (IEND.LT.2) GO TO 75
        JGO=1
        GO TO 20
    75  CONTINUE
        IF (IWORD.GT.1) GO TO 80
        IF (IWORD.LE.0) GO TO 20
C  STORE NUMERICAL COEFFICIENT (CONSTANT TERM)
        SOLTN(ICOUNT)=-AWORD(1)
        IWORD=0
        GO TO 20
    80  CONTINUE
C  STORE MATRIX COEFFICIENT
        DO 90 I=1,78
            IF (AWORD(2).NE. ZEE(I)) GO TO 90
            AMAT(ICOUNT,I)=AWORD(1)
            IWORD=0
            GO TO (20,160), JEND
    90  CONTINUE
    95  CONTINUE
        CALL SLPERR(10H  BUCKSV )
    100  IF (LEVEL-LCNTR(LR)) 150,120,110
    110  CONTINUE
        LEVEL=LEVEL-1
        GO TO 100
    120  CONTINUE
        CALL RCELL(LR)
    150  CONTINUE
        JEND=2
        GO TO 80
    160  CONTINUE
C
C  INVERT MATRIX TO FIND NUMERICAL SOLUTION
        CALL MATINV(AMAT,ICOUNT,SOLTN,24,1,DET)
        IF (DET.EQ.0.) GO TO 95
        RETURN
        END

```

```
      SUBROUTINE COMBY(N,I,IOUT)
C
C THIS ROUTINE CALCULATES THE NUMBER OF COMBINATIONS OF
C N ITEMS TAKEN I AT A TIME
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C DECEMBER 1975
C
      IOUT=FACTRL(N)/(FACTRL(N-I)*FACTRL(I))
      RETURN
      END
```



```

      SUBROUTINE EVALP(AP,FFRM,SOL,NOM,NTOT,IVAL)
C
C THIS ROUTINE EVALUATES PI TERMS
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C NOVEMBER 1975
C
      COMMON/MAXMIN/XAMAX,XAMIN
      DIMENSION AP(6,100),FFRM(100),SOL(24),IVAL(24)
      DIMENSION EXPNO(24),INDX(24)
      DIMENSION ARAY(24)
C
C AP ARRAY WITH PRIMITIVE NAME AND UP TO 5 VALUES
C FFRM ARRAY WITH NAME OF SOLUTIONS
C SOL ARRAY WITH NUMERIC SOLUTIONS
C NFRMS NUMBER OF FORMULAS
C IVAR NUMBER OF VARIABLES
C IVAL NUMBER OF VALUES FOR EACH VARIABLE
C
C IF AP HAS AN ENTRY WITH THE VALUE -9999.9 END EVALUATION
C
      WRITE (6,10)
10  FORMAT (27H0VALUE(S) OF PI TERM FOLLOW)
      DO 20 I=1,NOM
      EXPNO(I)=SOL(I)
20  CONTINUE
      NUSE=6
      I1=NUSE
      I2=NUSE
      I3=NUSE
      I4=NUSE
      I5=NUSE
      I6=NUSE
      I7=NUSE
      I8=NUSE
      I9=NUSE
      I10=NUSE
      I11=NUSE
      I12=NUSE
      I13=NUSE
      I14=NUSE
      I15=NUSE
      I16=NUSE
      I17=NUSE
      I18=NUSE
      I19=NUSE
      I20=NUSE
      I21=NUSE
      I22=NUSE
      I23=NUSE
      I24=NUSE

```

ND1=NUSE
ND2=NUSE
ND3=NUSE
ND4=NUSE
ND5=NUSE
ND6=NUSE
ND7=NUSE
ND8=NUSE
ND9=NUSE
ND10=NUSE
ND11=NUSE
ND12=NUSE
ND13=NUSE
ND14=NUSE
ND15=NUSE
ND16=NUSE
ND17=NUSE
ND18=NUSE
ND19=NUSE
ND20=NUSE
ND21=NUSE
ND22=NUSE
ND23=NUSE
ND24=NUSE
TERM1=1.
TERM2=1.
TERM3=1.
TERM4=1.
TERM5=1.
TERM6=1.
TERM7=1.
TERM8=1.
TERM9=1.
TERM10=1.
TERM11=1.
TERM12=1.
TERM13=1.
TERM14=1.
TERM15=1.
TERM16=1.
TERM17=1.
TERM18=1.
TERM19=1.
TERM20=1.
TERM21=1.
TERM22=1.
TERM23=1.
TERM24=1.
IUSE=0

```

DO 50 J=1,NOM
FR=FFRM(J)
DO 40 K=1,NTOT
AUSE=AP(1,K)
IF (FR.NE.AUSE) GO TO 40
IUSE=IUSE+1
INDX(IUSE)=K
GO TO 50
40 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,42) FR
42 FORMAT (15HNAME NOT FOUND,5X,A10)
CALL EXIT
50 CONTINUE
KUSE=25-NOM
DO 150 K=1,NOM
LL=NOM-K+1
IUSE=INDX(LL)
II=IVAL(IUSE)
IF (II.EQ.0) GO TO 130
GO TO (124,123,122,121,120,119,118,117,116,115,114,113,112,111,
1 110,109,108,107,106,105,104,103,102,101), KUSE
101 I1=II
ND1=1
GO TO 130
102 I2=II
ND2=1
GO TO 130
103 I3=II
ND3=1
GO TO 130
104 I4=II
ND4=1
GO TO 130
105 I5=II
ND5=1
GO TO 130
106 I6=II
ND6=1
GO TO 130
107 I7=II
ND7=1
GO TO 130
108 I8=II
ND8=1
GO TO 130
109 I9=II
ND9=1
GO TO 130
110 I10=II
ND10=1
GO TO 130

```

```

111 I11=II
    ND11=1
    GO TO 130
112 I12=II
    ND12=1
    GO TO 130
113 I13=II
    ND13=1
    GO TO 130
114 I14=II
    ND14=1
    GO TO 130
115 I15=II
    ND15=1
    GO TO 130
116 I16=II
    ND16=1
    GO TO 130
117 I17=II
    ND17=1
    GO TO 130
118 I18=II
    ND18=1
    GO TO 130
119 I19=II
    ND19=1
    GO TO 130
120 I20=II
    ND20=1
    GO TO 130
121 I21=II
    ND21=1
    GO TO 130
122 I22=II
    ND22=1
    GO TO 130
123 I23=II
    ND23=1
    GO TO 130
124 I24=II
    ND24=1
130 CONTINUE
    KUSE=KUSE+1
150 CONTINUE
    JLDTRM=-9999.9
    DO 250 LL=1,1
    DO 248 J1=1,I1,ND1
    IF (I1.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
    CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,1,IUSE,KUSE)
    ARAY(1)=AP(J1+1,IUSE)

```

```

TERM1=ARRAY(1)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J2=1,I2,ND2
IF (I2.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,2,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(2)=AP(J2+1,IUSE)
TERM2=ARRAY(2)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J3=1,I3,ND3
IF (I3.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,3,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(3)=AP(J3+1,IUSE)
TERM3=ARRAY(3)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J4=1,I4,ND4
IF (I4.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,4,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(4)=AP(J4+1,IUSE)
TERM4=ARRAY(4)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J5=1,I5,ND5
IF (I5.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,5,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(5)=AP(J5+1,IUSE)
TERM5=ARRAY(5)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J6=1,I6,ND6
IF (I6.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,6,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(6)=AP(J6+1,IUSE)
TERM6=ARRAY(6)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J7=1,I7,ND7
IF (I7.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,7,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(7)=AP(J7+1,IUSE)
TERM7=ARRAY(7)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J8=1,I8,ND8
IF (I8.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,8,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(8)=AP(J8+1,IUSE)
TERM8=ARRAY(8)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J9=1,I9,ND9
IF (I9.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,9,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(9)=AP(J9+1,IUSE)
TERM9=ARRAY(9)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J10=1,I10,ND10
IF (I10.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,10,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(10)=AP(J10+1,IUSE)
TERM10=ARRAY(10)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J11=1,I11,ND11
IF (I11.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,11,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(11)=AP(J11+1,IUSE)
TERM11=ARRAY(11)**EXPNO(KUSE)

```

```

DO 248 J12=1,I12,ND12
IF (I12.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,12,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(12)=AP(J12+1,IUSE)
TERM12=ARRAY(12)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J13=1,I13,ND13
IF (I13.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,13,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(13)=AP(J13+1,IUSE)
TERM13=ARRAY(13)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J14=1,I14,ND14
IF (I14.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,14,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(14)=AP(J14+1,IUSE)
TERM14=ARRAY(14)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J15=1,I15,ND15
IF (I15.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,15,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(15)=AP(J15+1,IUSE)
TERM15=ARRAY(15)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J16=1,I16,ND16
IF (I16.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,16,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(16)=AP(J16+1,IUSE)
TERM16=ARRAY(16)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J17=1,I17,ND17
IF (I17.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,17,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(17)=AP(J17+1,IUSE)
TERM17=ARRAY(17)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J18=1,I18,ND18
IF (I18.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,18,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(18)=AP(J18+1,IUSE)
TERM18=ARRAY(18)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J19=1,I19,ND19
IF (I19.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,19,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(19)=AP(J19+1,IUSE)
TERM19=ARRAY(19)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J20=1,I20,ND20
IF (I20.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,20,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(20)=AP(J20+1,IUSE)
TERM20=ARRAY(20)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J21=1,I21,ND21
IF (I21.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,21,IUSE,KUSE)
ARRAY(21)=AP(J21+1,IUSE)
TERM21=ARRAY(21)**EXPNO(KUSE)

```

```

DO 248 J22=1,I22,ND22
IF (I22.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,22,IUSE,KUSE)
ARAY(22)=AP(J22+1,IUSE)
TERM22=ARAY(22)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J23=1,I23,ND23
IF (I23.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,23,IUSE,KUSE)
ARAY(23)=AP(J23+1,IUSE)
TERM23=ARAY(23)**EXPNO(KUSE)
DO 248 J24=1,I24,ND24
IF (I24.EQ.NUSE) GO TO 240
CALL NUMB(KK,INDX,24,IUSE,KUSE)
ARAY(24)=AP(J24+1,IUSE)
TERM24=ARAY(24)**EXPNO(KUSE)
240 CONTINUE
TERMO= TERM1*TERM2*TERM3*TERM4*TERM5*TERM6*TERM7*TERM8
1 *TERM9*TERM10*TERM11*TERM12*TERM13*TERM14*TERM15*TERM16
2 *TERM17*TERM18*TERM19*TERM20*TERM21*TERM22*TERM23*TERM24
IF (OLDTRM.EQ.TERMO) GO TO 246
WRITE (6,241) (ARAY(III),III=1,NOM)
241 FORMAT (34H0VALUES USED IN EVALUATING PI TERM/
1 (1H ,6E20.8))
RECIP=1./TERMO
WRITE (6,245) TERMO,RECIP
245 FORMAT (18H0EVALUATED TERM = ,E20.8,2X,13HRECIPROCAL = ,E20.8)
246 CONTINUE
OLDTRM=TERMO
248 CONTINUE
250 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

```



```
      SUBROUTINE NUMB(KK,INDX,LOOP,IUSE,KUSE)
C
C  WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C  NOVEMBER 1975
C
      DIMENSION INDX(24)
      KUSE=LOOP
      IUSE=INDX(KUSE)
      RETURN
      END
```

```

      SUBROUTINE ONEMR(NSOLS,IRAYS,NCT,IXTRA,IBYPS)
C
C THIS ROUTINE PERMUTES THE INPUT EQUATIONS
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C DECEMBER 1975
C
      DIMENSION NSOLS(720),IRAYS(20)
      DIMENSION IUSE(20)
      DATA IOK/0/
C
C NSOLS      ARRAY SHOWING UNIQUENESS OF PERMUTATION
C IRAYS      ARRAY WITH NEXT PERMUTATION
C NCT        NUMBER OF PERMUTATIONS
C IXTRA      NUMBER OF ITEMS IN ARRAY IRAYS
C IBYPS      TOTAL NUMBER OF PERMUTATIONS
C
      IF (NCT+1.GT.720) NCT=-1
      IF (NCT+1.GT.IBYPS) NCT=-1
      IF (NCT.EQ.-1) RETURN
      ITIMES=0
      XTRA=IXTRA
10  CONTINUE
      ITIMES=ITIMES+1
      IF (ITIMES.LE.1000) GO TO 15
      NCT=-1
      RETURN
15  CONTINUE
      DO 20 I=1,IXTRA
      IUSE(I)=I
20  CONTINUE
      DO 50 I=1,IXTRA
30  CONTINUE
      XUSE=URAN31(IOK)*XTRA+1.
      IF (XUSE.GT.XTRA) XUSE=XTRA
      IPUT=XUSE
      IF (IUSE(IPUT).LE.0) GO TO 30
      IRAYS(I)=IPUT
      IUSE(IPUT)=0
50  CONTINUE
      ITEST=0
      DO 60 I=1,IXTRA
      ITEST=10*ITEST+IRAYS(I)
60  CONTINUE
      DO 70 I=1,NCT
      IF (ITEST.EQ.NSOLS(I)) GO TO 10
70  CONTINUE
      NCT=NCT+1
      NSOLS(NCT)=ITEST
C
      RETURN
      END

```

```

      SUBROUTINE PRMTE(ITIME,NTOT,SOL)
C
C THIS ROUTINE MANIPULATES PI SOLUTIONS
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C NOVEMBER 1975
C
      COMMON/MAXMIN/XAMAX,XAMIN
      COMMON/SOLSAV/SOL1
      DIMENSION SOL(25)
      DIMENSION SOL1(25)
C
      GO TO (10,30,50,70,90,110), ITIME
C
C SQUARE NUMBERS
      10 CONTINUE
      DO 20 I=1,NTOT
      SOL1(I)=SOL(I)
      SOL(I)=2.*SOL(I)
      20 CONTINUE
      RETURN
C
C CUBE NUMBERS
      30 CONTINUE
      DO 40 I=1,NTOT
      SOL1(I)=3.*SOL1(I)
      40 CONTINUE
      RETURN
C
C SQUARE ROOT
      50 CONTINUE
      DO 60 I=1,NTOT
      SOL(I)=.5*SOL1(I)
      60 CONTINUE
      RETURN
C
C CUBE ROOT
      70 CONTINUE
      A=1./3.
      DO 80 I=1,NTOT
      SOL(I)=A*SOL1(I)
      80 CONTINUE
      RETURN
C
C FIND MAX AND MIN
      90 CONTINUE
      XAMAX=-10000.
      XAMIN=10000.
      DO 95 I=1,NTOT

```

```

      IF (SOL1(I).EQ.0.0) GO TO 95
      XAMAX=AMAX1(XAMAX,ABSF(SOL1(I)))
      XAMIN=AMIN1(XAMIN,ABSF(SOL1(I)))
95    CONTINUE
      DO 100 I=1,NTOT
      SOL(I)=SOL1(I)/XAMIN
100   CONTINUE
      RETURN
110   CONTINUE
      DO 120 I=1,NTOT
      SOL(I)=SOL1(I)/XAMAX
120   CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END

```

SUBROUTINE TABLUK(NTOT,SOLTN)

C
C WRITTEN BY MA HIRSCHBERG
C NOVEMBER 1975
C
C THIS PROGRAM COMPARES PI THEOREM SOLUTIONS WITH LAND NUMBERS
C

```

DIMENSION SOLTN(24)
DIMENSION SOL(24)
DIMENSION ITAB(93)
DIMENSION ATABL(516)
DATA ITAB/ 2,4,10,2,2,1,3,15,10,13,2,1,5,1,2,2,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,
1 4,28,18,6,3,6,4,3,1,1,2,2,1,1,2,1,1,1,
11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,5,17,2,3,2,1,2,1,3,1,2,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,
1 6, 8,1,2,1,1,1,1,1,1, 7,4,1,1,1,1,1, 8,3,1,1,1/
DATA (ATABL(I),I=1,133)/
* -1.,1.,6HCROCCO,5HDEBYE,8HEINSTEIN,
*7HKNUDSEN,5HLAVAL,4HMACH,4HNAZE,6HSARRAU,10HSMOLUCKOWS,
*7HSP HEAT, -2.,2.,6HCAUCHY,5HHOOKER, -1.,2.,4HEVAP,4HELAS, 1.,1.,
*4HHALL,-1.,-1.,1.,9HARRHENIUS,4HEVAP,5HJACOB,7HPRANDTL,5HROSBY,
*7HRUSSELL,7HSCHMIDT,8HSURF VEL,8HVISCOELAS,7HCOLBURN,-1.,1.,1.,
*4HBIOT,10HBODENSTEIN,8HREYNOLDS,3HCAP,3HNN1,7HNUSSELT,6HPECLET,
*6HPOISSONOV,7HPRANDTL,8HSHERWOOD,8HSTROUHAL,7HTHOMSON,5HTRUNC,-.5,
*-.5,1.,10HBOUSSINESQ,6HFROUDE,-2.,2.,2.,3HCAP,-2.,-1.,1.,5HEKMAN,
*4HELAS,5HEULER,7HFANNING,3HNN2,-2.,2.,4.,6HTAYLOR,-1.,-1.,2.,
*6HECKERT,6HFROUDE,-3.,-1.,1.,4HFLOW,10HMASS RATIO,1.,1.,1.,
*9HMPRANDTL,-2.,1.,1.,5HREECH,7HFOURIER,-.5,.5,1.,7HPRANDTL,-1.,
*1.,4.,8HRAD PRES,-.3333333333,.3333333333,1.,5HSACHS,-2.,1.,3.,
*7HGALILEO,-.6666666666,.3333333333,.3333333333,10HKIRPITCHEF,
*-1.,-1.,1.,1.,6HBANSEN,7HBINGHAM,7HBOUGUER,7HNUSSELT,8HHEDSTROM,
*9HKIRPICHEV,9HKOSSOVICH,8HLAGRANGE,10HCRISPATION,9HDAMKOHLER,
*4HELAS,5HELLIS,6HGRAETZ,8HMOMENTUM,7HNUSSELT,8HPARTICLE,4HPLAS/
DATA (ATABL(I),I=134,310)/
*8HPIPELINE,-1.,-1.,1.,2.,9HBRINKMANN,9HDAMKOHLER,10HPOISEUILLE,
*10HPOMERANTSE,10HPREDVODITL,10HSOMMERFELD,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,6HHERSEY,
*8HELSASSER,7HSTANTON,-1.,1.,1.,1.,9HDAMKOHLER,5HLEWIS,6HMERKEL,
*8HREYNOLDS,10HRICHARDSON,7HSEMOV,-1.,1.,1.,2.,4HBOND,5HWEBER,
*6HEOTVOS,9HMAG INTER,-1.,.5,.5,1.,6HALFVEN,6HKARMAN,8HMAG MACA,
*-.5,.5,1.,1.,8HHARTMANN,-2.,-2.,-1.,3.,3HACC,-3.,-1.,1.,4.,
*6HMORTON,7HCAP-BOY,-3.,-2.,-1.,1.,8HLAGRANGE,10HHEAT TRANS,-2.,1.,
*1.,2.,8HHEDSTROM,-1.,-.5,-.5,1.,7HCOWLING,-.5,.5,.5,1.,7HGOUCHER,
*8HDERYAGIN,-1.,-.5,-.5,.5,5HEKMAN,-2.,-1.,1.,1.,4HELAS,-.4,-.2,.2,
*1.,5HEXPLO,-2.,1.,1.,1.,8HSURATMAN,-1.5,-.5,.5,1.,7HSLUSH T,-.75,
*-.75,.5,1.,8HSP SPEED,-3.,-1.,-1.,1.,4HTOMS,-1.,-.5,.5,1.,
*8HLEVERETT,-2.,-1.,1.,2.,8HMAG PRES,1.,1.,1.,1.,10HMPREYNOLDS,
*-2.,-2.,-1.,1.,6HNEWTON,-.6666666666,.3333333333,.6666666666,1.,
*7HNUSSELT,-.5,-.5,-.5,1.,9HONESORGE,-5.,-3.,-1.,1.,5HPOWER,-1.,
*.5,1.,1.,6HREGIER,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,9HKIRPICHEV,8HREYNOLDS,-1.,
*-1.,1.,1.,1.,7HHODGSON,7HGRAVITY,3HNN5,-2.,-1.,1.,1.,1.,5HJOULE/

```

```

DATA (ATABL(I),I=311,516)/
*5HDARCY,-2.,-1.,1.,1.,2.,7HSQUEEZE,-2.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,6HSTOKES,
*7HFOURIER,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,2.,9HMARANGONI,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,2.,
*7HMAG DYN,7HJEFFREY,9HDAMKOHLER,-.5,1.,1.,1.,1.5,9HLUNDQUIST,-2.,
*-1.,1.,1.,3.,6HKARMAN,10HARCHIMEDES,-1.,-1.,-1.,.5,1.,3HCAP,
*-1.,-.6666666666,3333333333,.6666666666,1.,8HJ FACTOR,6HCONDEN,
*-1.,1.,1.,2.,2.,10HCENTRIFUGE,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,3.,8HCLAUSIUS,
*-1.,-.6666666666,3333333333,.6666666666,1.,8HJ FACTOR,-1.,-.5,1.,1.,
*1.5,4HDEAN,-1.,-.5,.5,1.,1.,5HFRUEH,-1.,1.,1.,1.,1.,6HPECLET,
*-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,2.,7HBAGNOLD,-3.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,1.,6HTHRING,
*9HBOLTZMANN,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,4.,6HSTEFAN,-1.,-1.,-.5,.5,1.,2.,
*10HELECTROVIS,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,2.,2.,9HMAG FORCE,-1.,-1.,-.5,1.,1.,
*1.,3HNN3,-1.,-1.,-.5,-.5,1.,1.,3HNN4,-2.,1.,1.,1.,2.,3.,7HGRASHOF,
*-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,2.,3.,10HCONDENSATI,-1.,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,1.,
*9HDAMKOHLER,-2.,-2.,-1.,-1.,1.,2.,2.,6HOCVIRK,-1.,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,
*1.,2.,8HBUOYANCY,-1.,-1.,1.,1.,1.,1.,2.,3.,8HRAYLEIGH,-3.,-2.,-1.,
*-1.,1.,1.,1.,4.,7HMCADAMS,-1.,-.5,-.5,-.5,.5,1.,2.,2.,8HLYKOUDIS/

C
C SAVE SOLUTIONS
  IGO=1
  DO 10 I=1,NTOT
    SOL(I)=SOLTN(I)
  10 CONTINUE

C
C SORT ARRAY
  15 CONTINUE
  I=2
  18 CONTINUE
    IF (SOL(I).GE.SOL(I-1)) GO TO 20
    X=SOL(I-1)
    SOL(I-1)=SOL(I)
    SOL(I)=X
    GO TO 15
  20 CONTINUE
    IF (I.EQ.NTOT) GO TO 25
    I=I+1
    GO TO 18

C
C FIND TABLED VALUES FOR SORTED EXPONENTS
  25 CONTINUE

C DO LOOK-UP
  I=1
  K=1
  30 CONTINUE
    IF (I.GT.93) GO TO 300
    IUSE=ITAB(I)
    IF (IUSE.EQ.NTOT) GO TO 50
    I=I+1
    JMUL=ITAB(I)
    K=IUSE*JMUL+K

```

```

      DO 40 II=1,JMUL
      I=I+1
      K=K+ITAB(I)
40    CONTINUE
      I=I+1
      GO TO 30

C
C WE HAVE THE RIGHT NUMBER OF ELEMENTS
50    CONTINUE
      KTEMP=K
      ITEMP=I+1
      IDX=ITAB(ITEMP)
      DO 200 II=1,IDX
      ITEMP=ITEMP+1
      LUSE=ITAB(ITEMP)
      DO 100 JJ=1,IUSE
      KUSE=KTEMP+JJ-1
      IF (ABSF(SOL(JJ)-ATABL(KUSE)).LE..0001) GO TO 100
      GO TO 150
100   CONTINUE
      WRITE (6,110)
110   FORMAT (16HOLAND CANDIDATES)
      L1=KUSE+1
      L2=L1+LUSE-1
      WRITE (6,120) (ATABL(IJ),IJ=L1,L2)
120   FORMAT (1H ,8A12)
      GO TO 210
150   CONTINUE
      KTEMP=KTEMP+IUSE+LUSE
200   CONTINUE
210   CONTINUE

C
C INVERT SOLUTION AND TRY AGAIN
      GO TO (260,300), IGO
260   CONTINUE
      IGO=2
      DO 270 II=1,NTOT
      SOL(II)=-SOLTN(II)
270   CONTINUE
      GO TO 15
300   CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END

```


APPENDIX II

SAMPLE PROGRAM INPUTS

NUMERICAL INPUTS

<u>VARIABLE</u>	<u>ENGLISH UNITS</u>	<u>METRIC UNITS</u>
Force (F)	10^4 Lbs	444820×10^4 Dynes
Velocity (V)	10^3 Ft/Sec	$30480 \frac{\text{cm}}{\text{sec}}$
Area (A)	10^2Ft^2	92903.04 cm^2
Density (δ)	$.00237 \frac{\text{Lb sec}}{\text{Ft}^4}$	$.0012144 \frac{\text{Dyne Sec}}{\text{cm}^4}$
Viscosity (μ)	$4 \times 10^{-7} \frac{\text{Lb sec}}{\text{Ft}^2}$	$1.915201 \frac{\text{Dyne Sec}}{\text{cm}^2}$

PROGRAM INPUTS

```

      8
FORCED      444820.    + 04-9999.9
VISCOSITY   1.915201  - 04-9999.9
AREA        92903.04   -9999.9
DENSITY     .00122144  -9999.9
VELOCITY    30480.     -9999.9
FORCE       -9999.9
LENGTH      -9999.9
TIME        -9999.9

      5
      3
      FORCED=FORCE$$
      VISCOSITY=FORCE*TIME/LENGTH**2$$
      AREA=LENGTH**2$$
      DENSITY=FORCE*TIME**2/LENGTH**4$$
      VELOCITY=LENGTH/TIME$$
NOMOR
$$

```

APPENDIX III

INPUT DESCRIPTION AND FORMAT

<u>CARD TYPE</u>	<u>DATUM NUMBER</u>	<u>NAME OF DATUM</u>	<u>DATA ENTRIES</u>	<u>DATA FORMAT TYPE</u>
1	1	NPRMS	Total number of variables and fundamental units.	1
2	1	APRIMS (1,N)	Name of variable or fundamental unit (there are NPRM Type 2 cards read).	2
2	2-6	APRIMS (2-6,N)	Values for fundamental units (used by the evaluation routine EVALP). -9999.9 signifies end of data. Up to five values are allowed per variable. All combinations of input values are calculated.	
3	1	NFRMS	Number of equations	1
4	1	IVARS	Number of fundamental units	1
5		LFORMS	Dimensional equations (there are NFRMS equations which are read by the SYMBOLANG routine INLIST.	*

FORMATS

Type 1	Format (I5)
Type 2	Format (A10, 2X, 5E12.8)

* Dimensional equations are read by the SYMBOLANG routine INLIST as modified to run on the BRLESC II Computer). See Appendix II for a sample of inputs. Each equation is terminated by a "\$\$". In addition, a card with "NOMOR" (beginning in card column 1) and a card with "\$\$" (also beginning in column 1) are used to terminate the INLIST read and terminate input to program BUCKY.

APPENDIX IV

SAMPLE PROGRAM OUTPUTS

PI THEOREM SOLVER

NUMBER OF PRIMITIVES 8

LAND CANDIDATES REFERS TO
A COMPILATION OF DIMENSIONLESS NUMBERS BY NORMAN S. LAND
NASA SP-274, USGPO STOCK NUMBER 3300-0408, 1972

PRIMITIVES USED IN EVALUATION

VALUES

PRIMITIVES			
FORCED	0.44482000E 10	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00
VISCOSITY	0.19152010E-03	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00
AREA	0.92903040E 05	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00
DENSITY	0.12214400E-02	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00
VELOCITY	0.30480000E 05	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00
FORCE	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00	0.00000000E 00
LENGTH	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00	0.00000000E 00
TIME	-0.99999000E 04	0.00000000E 00	0.00000000E 00

THERE ARE 5 FORMULAS INVOLVING 3 VARIABLES

THERE ARE 5 POSSIBLE COMBINATIONS

FORCED=FORCE\$\$
VISCOSITY=FORCE*TIME/LENGTH**2\$\$
AREA=LENGTH**2\$\$
DENSITY=FORCE*TIME**2/LENGTH**4\$\$
VELOCITY=LENGTH/TIME\$\$

THERE ARE 2 PI TERMS

LTV = FORCEB**(1 + B)*LENGTH**(2*A - 4*B + C)
*TIME**(2*B - C)

\$
\$END OF EXPRESSION

SOLUTION OR MANIPULATED SOLUTION FOR PI TERM

FORCED	** .10000000E 01
AREA	** -.10000000E 01
DENSITY	** -.10000000E 01
VELOCITY	** -.20000000E 01

VALUE(S) OF PI TERM FOLLOW

VALUES USED IN EVALUATING PI TERM
0.44482000E 10 0.92903040E 05 0.12214400E-02 0.30480000E 05

EVALUATED TERM = 0.42194157E-01 RECIPROCAL = 0.23699964E 02

LAND CANDIDATES EOTVOS MAG INTER
BOND WEBER

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	1	Commander US Army Missile Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSMI-AOM Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCMD-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Research & Development Cmd ATTN: DRDTA-UL Warren, MI 48090
1	Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSAB-E 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, MO 63166	2	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS Dover, NJ 07801
1	Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035	1	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-LC, Dr. Frasier Dover, NJ 07801
2	Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-MS, Mr. Grobstein Dover, NJ 07801
1	Commander US Army Communications Rsch and Development Command ATTN: DRDCO-SGS Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-SC, S. Goodman Dover, NJ 07801
1	Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809	1	Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib Rock Island, IL 61299

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib White Sands Missile Range NM 88002	1	California State University, Sacramento Dept of Electrical Engineering ATTN: Prof. W. W. Happ 6000 J Street Sacramento, CA 95819
1	ASD (Dr. R. Sylvester) Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433	1	IIT Research Institute Engineering Mechanics Division ATTN: Mr. L. Barbarek 10 West 35th Street Chicago, IL 60616
1	Office of Technology Assessment US Congress ATTN: Mr. Coates Washington, DC 20510	1	Massachusetts Institute of Tech ATTN: Prof. J. Weizenbaum Cambridge, MA 02139
1	Brookhaven National Labs ATTN: Dr. Herbert J. Bernstein Chemical Dept, Bldg. 555A Upton, Long Island, NY 11973	3	Southwest Research Institute ATTN: Dr. P. S. Westine Dr. W. E. Baker Dr. F. T. Dodge 8500 Culebra Road P. O. Drawer 28510 San Antonio, TX 78284
1	Clippinger Research Labs Ohio State University ATTN: Dr. W. K. Chen Athens, OH 45701	1	State Univ of New York, Buffalo Dept of Computer Science ATTN: Dr. Nicholas V. Findler 4226 Ridge Lea Road Amherst, NY 14226
1	Gulf Universities Research Consortium ATTN: Dr. J. Sharp 16821 Buccaneer Lane, Suite 206 Clear Lake City Houston, TX 77058		<u>Aberdeen Proving Ground</u> Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: Dr. Sperrazza Mr. Bain Mr. Maki Dr. Cummings Dr. Dubin Mr. Belbot Mr. Bailey Mr. Reeches
1	Operating Systems, Inc. ATTN: Dr. C. Montgomery 21031 Ventura Boulevard Woodland Hills, CA 91364		
1	Pritsker and Associates, Inc. ATTN: Dr. A. Pritsker 1710 South Street Lafayette, IN 47904		
1	Brandeis University Physics Department ATTN: Dr. Jacques Cohen Waltham, MA 02154		

(continued)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Cdr, USATECOM

ATTN: DRSTE-SG-H

Cdr/Dir, CSL, EA

ATTN: DRDAR-MSE, Mr. Goldberg

DRDAR-CL

Dr. Sacco

Mr. Sturdivan